

**Best OFT 2016-17**

Title	Assessment of potato varieties			
Crop & Variety	Potato, Kufri Surya			
Season & Year	Rabi, 2016-17			
Problem	Small size tuber , low yield, low profit from local cultivar			
Farmers Practices	T1-Cultivation of Kufri Lalima			
Detail of Technology Demonstrated	T2-Cultivation of Kufri jyoti T3- Kufri surya along with recommended package of practice, seed treatment with Azotobacter, Soil test based fertilizer, need based plant protection measures			
Recommendation	CPRI, Shimla, 2006			
Characteristic of technology/variety	Yield potential 250-300q/ha, early variety duration-75 days, heat tolerant, good cooking quality, good appearance			
Area (ha)	0.06 ha	No. of demo-5	Farming situation	Irrigated medium land



Results	Yield (q/ha)	% change in Yield	No. of tuber/plant	Individual tuber wt.	Cost of cultivation	Avg. Gross return(Rs.)	Net Income (Rs./ha)	BC Ratio
T1	163.8	27.2	3.5 nos.	87.2 gm	34700	81900	47200	2.3
T2	208.5		4.02 nos.	119.5 gm	42800	104250	61450	2.43
T3	238.2	45.4	4.4 nos.	137.0 gm	42800	119100	76300	2.78

<b>Title</b>	<b>Assessment of Herbicide Imizathapyr as post emergence application in Groundnut</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Groundnut, devi</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Rabi, 2016-17</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	Low yield due to heavy weed infestation			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>T1- Manual Weeding</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>T2-Use of post emergence herbicide <u>Imizathapyr 10%SL@0.075kg/ha</u> 20 DAS.</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>OUAT-2012</b>			
<b>Characteristic of technology/variety</b>	<b>Post emergence herbicide Imizathapyr <u>10%SL@0.075kg/ha</u> 20 DAS control of weed in groundnut..</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>1 ha</b>	<b>No. of demo- 13</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	<b>Rainfed medium land</b>



<b>Results</b>	<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>% change in Yield</b>	<b>No of pods per plant</b>	<b>WCE%</b>	<b>Cost of cultivation</b>	<b>Avg. Gross return(Rs.)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs./ha)</b>	<b>BC Ratio</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>16.5</b>	<b>25.45</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>84.5</b>	<b>34800</b>	<b>66000</b>	<b>31200</b>	<b>1.89</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>20.7</b>		<b>21</b>		<b>35940</b>	<b>82800</b>	<b>46860</b>	<b>2.30</b>

Title					Assessment of performance of bullock drawn groundnut digger		
Crop & Variety		Groundnut, Devi					
Season & Year		Kharif, 2016					
Problem		Unavailability of labour and high wages of labour for digging groundnut					
Farmers Practices		T1- Manual digging					
Detail of Technology Demonstrated		T2- bullock drawn groundnut digger					
Recommendation		AICRP on Utilization of Animal Energy , CAET, OUAT, 2014					
Characteristic of technology/variety		width of operation 20 cm and depth of operation 4 inch, field capacity 15 hrs/ac					
Area (ha)		0.8 ha	No. of demo-5	Farming situation	Rainfed medium land		



Results	Yield (q/ha)	% change in Yield	Avg. field capacity ha/hr	Cost of cultivation	Avg. Gross return(Rs.)	Net Income (Rs./ha)	BC Ratio
T1	17.14	-	0.008	35180	68560	33380	1.94
T2	17.14		0.027	32680	68560	35880	2.09

<b>Title</b>	<b>Assessment of performance of tractor drawn seed cum fertilizer drill in groundnut</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Groundnut, Devi</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Rabi, 2016-17</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	<b>Dropping groundnut seeds behind the plough is a tedious and time consuming job</b>			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>T1-Putting groundnut seeds behind the plough by hand</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>T2- Tractor drawn seed cum fertilizer drill</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Commercial tested at CAET, O.U.A.T., 2012</b>			
<b>Characteristic of technology/variety</b>	<b>Eleven row seed cum fertilizer drill, drawn by more than 35 HP tractor, row to row spacing is adjustable, maintaining row to row spacing leads to easy inter cultural operation</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>1 ha</b>	<b>No. of demo- 13</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	<b>Irrigated medium land</b>



<b>Results</b>	<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>% change in Yield</b>	<b>Avg. field capacity ha/hr</b>	<b>Cost of cultivation( Rs./ha)</b>	<b>Avg. Gross return(Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs./ha)</b>	<b>BC Ratio</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>19.4</b>	<b>12.37</b>	<b>0.066</b>	<b>35211</b>	<b>77600</b>	<b>42389</b>	<b>2.2</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>21.8</b>		<b>0.4</b>	<b>33961</b>	<b>87200</b>	<b>53239</b>	<b>2.56</b>



Title of on-farm trials	Assessment of paddy straw mushroom strain OSM-11 & 12
Season & Year	Kharif , 2016
Number of trials	15 (300 nos. bed)
Problem diagnose	Low yield of paddy straw mushroom V. Volvacea in peak summer
Farmers Practices	T1- Cultivation of paddy straw mushroom V. volvacea
Details of technology selected	T2: Cultivation of paddy straw mushroom strain OSM-11 T3: Cultivation of paddy straw mushroom strain OSM-12
Recommendation	AICRP on mushroom, OUAT , 2013-14
Characteristic of technology/ variety	OSM-11 black colour, bio-efficiency of substrate -15% OSM-12 grey colour, bio-efficiency of substrate -13%
Farming situation	Home stead



Treatments	Production per unit	Fruit wt (gm)}	Cost of input (Rs)	Incremental income (Rs)	Yield (Kg/bed)	Net Return (Rs)	Saving in Rs	BC ratio
T1	10 kg	20	500	1200	1.0kg/bed	700	--	2.4
T2	12 kg	22.21	500	1440	1.2 kg/bed	940	240	2.88
T3	11 kg	21.45	500	1320	1.1 kg/bed	820	120	2.64