## FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION 2015-16

| Title  | Demonstr   | ration on Bio    | ofertilizer applic | ation in caul  | iflower    |       |  |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|-------|--|
| Crop & Variety   | Cauliflower, Megha   |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Season & Year  | <b>Rabi-201</b> :  | 5-16             |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Problem  | Low yield  | due to soil acid | dity & improper n  | nutrient manaș | gement.    |       |  |
| Thematic Area  | INM  |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| <b>Farmers Practices (FP)</b>  | No use of  | lime & Biofe     | ertilizer          |                |            |       |  |
| <b>Detail of Technology</b>  | Application of 5kg of lime mixed with 100kg of FYM and       |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Demonstrated (RP)  | inoculated with 3kg of azotobacter,3kg PSB &3kg azospirillum |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
|  | with soil test based fertilizer                              |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Recommendation   | OUAT-2009  |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Area (ha)  | 1haNo. of Demo-5Farming situationIrrigated medium            |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| TELL VICTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF |  |                  |                    |                |            |       |  |
| Results   Yield   % change   | Curd   | % change in      | Cost of            | Avg. Gross     | Net Income | BC    |  |
| (q/ha) in Yield  | weight(g)  | Parameter        | cultivation(Rs/ha) | return(Rs/ha)  | (Rs./ha)   | Ratio |  |
| FP 196.3 28.73   | 758  | 20.71            | 51300              | 98150          | 46850      | 1.91  |  |
| RP 252.7   | 915  |                  | 62500              | 126350         | 63850      | 2.02  |  |

|                           |  |          | quality of marigold  |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|----------|--|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|--|--|
| Crop & Va                 | ariety   |          | Marigold, var-Serakole   |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Season &                  | Year   |          | <b>Rabi, 2015-1</b>  | Rabi, 2015-16    |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Problem                   |  |          |  | -                | • /           | ng quality of r       | narigold due to    |        |  |  |
|                           |  |          | traditional method of cultivation  |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Thematic                  | Area   |          | INM  |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| <b>Farmers I</b>          | Practices  |          | U  |                  | l treatment , | imbalanced fo         | ertilizer applicat | ion    |  |  |
|                           |  |          | without bio-fertilizer   |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Detail of T               | <b>Technolo</b>  |          |  |                  | _             |                       | RDF(80:80:80) K    | g      |  |  |
| Demonstr                  | monstrated NPK/ha, FYM 10 ton/ha +sea weed extract 25kg/ha |          |  |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Recommendation OUAT, 2006 |  |          |  |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Area (ha)                 |  |          | 0.4 ha No. of Demo- 5 Farming situation  |                  |               | Irrigated medium land |                    |        |  |  |
|                           |  |          | Proprietory Control of the Control o |                  |               |                       |                    |        |  |  |
| Results                   | Yield  | %        | Individual   | <b>Change in</b> | cost of       | Avg. Gross            | Net Income         | BC     |  |  |
|                           | (q/ha)   | change   | fruit Wt.  | parameter        | cultivation   | return(Rs/ha)         | (Rs/ha)            | Ratio* |  |  |
|                           |  | in Yield |  | (%)              | (Rs/ha)       |                       |                    | *      |  |  |
| FP                        | 88.6   | 34.78    | 5.2  | 23.07            | 37200         | 88600                 | 51400              | 2.38   |  |  |
| RP                        | 119.4  |          | 6.4  |                  | 47200         | 131362                | 84162              | 2.78   |  |  |

Title

| Season &         | Year                    | Kharif,              | Kharif, 2015  |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| <b>Problem</b>   |                         | Heavy                | Heavy disease infestation on rice causes low yield            |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
| <b>Farmers</b>   | Practices (             | FP) Manag            | Management of sheath blight by application of carbendazim     |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
| <b>Detail of</b> | Technology              |                      | Seed treatment and seedling root dip with P.floroscence, soil |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
| Demonst          | rated (RP)              |                      | tion of P.floro   | _                   | _                        |            |                 |  |  |  |
|                  |                         | Sprayii              | ng of (tricycla   | zole + propice      | onazole) <b>52.5</b>     | SE         |                 |  |  |  |
| Recomm           | endation                | OUAT,                | 2011  |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
| Area             | Area 1 ha No. of Demo 5 |                      |   |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
|                  |                         |                      |   |                     |                          |            |                 |  |  |  |
| Results          | Yield (q/ha)            | % change in<br>Yield | % disease infestation   | Cost of cultivation | Avg. Gross return(Rs/ha) | Net Income | <b>BC</b> Ratio |  |  |  |
|                  |                         | Tielu                | (Scoring-5-7)   | (Rs./ha)            | return(NS/11d)           | (Rs./ha)   |                 |  |  |  |
| T1               | 38.9                    | 17.7                 | 17  | 25500               | 48025                    | 23125      | 1.9             |  |  |  |
| T2               | 45.8                    |                      | 09  | 26800               | 57250                    | 30450      | 2.1             |  |  |  |
|                  |                         |                      |   |                     |                          |            | <u></u>         |  |  |  |

control of sheath blight in paddy

Paddy, Lalat

Title

**Crop & Variety** 

Demonstration on integrated disease management practice for

| Title                         |                         | I   | Demonstration on use of self propelled rice transplanter |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| Season                        | & Year                  | F   | Kharif, 2015   |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Problem                       | 1                       | N   | Manual transplanting is labour intensive work            |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Themat                        | ic area                 | F   | Farm mechanization                                       |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Farmer                        | s Practic               | es (FP)                                   | Manual transplanting                                     |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
|                               | f Techno<br>strated (I  |   | Average field capacity of transplanter 0.16ha/h          |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Recomn                        | nendatio                | ` '                                       |  |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Area (ha) 1 ha No. of Demo- 5 |                         |   |  |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
|                               |                         |   |  |                    |                             |                                 |                          |                  |  |
| Results                       | Field capacit y (ha/hr) | Labour<br>requirem<br>ent(mand<br>ays/ha) | Cost of operation (Rs/ha)                                | Yield<br>(qtl./ha) | Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | Avg. Gross<br>return<br>(Rs/ha) | Net<br>Return<br>(Rs/ha) | B:C<br>rati<br>o |  |
| FP                            | 0.003                   | 36  | 7200   | 42.2               | 28950                       | 52750                           | 23800                    | 1.82             |  |
| RP                            | 0.16                    | 3   | 2500   | 45.3               | 24250                       | 56625                           | 32375                    | 2.34             |  |

| Problem             |  | temperature  |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Farmers Pr          |  | Oyster Mushroom P. <i>sajarcaju</i>                                  |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Detail of Te</b> | chnology   | Cutting of straw into 2inch, soaking for 8-10 hr, and sterilization, |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstrat          | ted (RP) sp  | spawning of mushroom bed   |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Recommend           | dation   | DMR Solan, 2008  |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| No. of unit         | 10   | 00 bed   | No. of Demo- 10 | 0                |          |  |  |  |  |
|                     | NOTUNE DEMONSTRATION AS A STATE OF THE STATE |  |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Results             | Avg. fruit wt. of  | Avg. Yield/unit(kg)  | Saving in (Rs)  | Net return (Rs.) | BC ratio |  |  |  |  |
|                     | mushroom (gm)  |  |                 |                  |          |  |  |  |  |
| T1                  | 25   | 19.5   | 680             | 770              | 2.92     |  |  |  |  |
| <b>T2</b>           | 42   | 27.5   |                 | 1450             | 4.62     |  |  |  |  |

**Oyster Mushroom, Hypsizygous ulmarius** 

Rabi, 2015-16

**Title** 

**Problem** 

**Crop & Variety** 

Season & Year

**Demonstration of Oyster Mushroom variety Hypsizygous ulmarius** 

Low yield from Disaignedia, during later part of winter due to low

1450

4.62