

**BEST FLD 201-17**

<b>Title</b>	<b>Demonstration of Pre-limed FYM inoculated with Biofertilizer in Cauliflower.</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Cauliflower, Megha</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Rabi, 2016-17</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	<b>Low yield due to soil acidity &amp; improper nutrient management.</b>			
<b>Thematic Area</b>	<b>INM</b>			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>No use of Lime &amp; Biofertilizer,</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>Application of 5kg of lime mixed with 100kg of FYM and inoculated with 3kg of azotobacter, 3kg PSB &amp; 3kg azospirillum with soil test based fertilizer</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>OUAT, 2010</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>1 ha</b>	<b>No. of Demo- 5</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	<b>Irrigated medium land</b>



<b>Results</b>	<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>% change in Yield</b>	<b>cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs./ha)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>BC Ratio**</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>197.3</b>	<b>28.58</b>	<b>51300</b>	<b>98650</b>	<b>47350</b>	<b>1.92</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>253.7</b>		<b>62500</b>	<b>126850</b>	<b>64350</b>	<b>2.02</b>

<b>Title</b>	<b>Demonstration on INM in marigold (var. Serakole)</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Marigold, Serakole</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Rabi, 2016-17</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	<b>Low yield, inferior quality, low keeping quality of marigold due to traditional method of cultivation</b>			
<b>Thematic Area</b>	<b>INM</b>			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>Seed sowing without seed treatment , imbalanced fertilizer application without bio-fertilizer</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>Seed treatment with Azotobactor 25gm/kg of seed RDF(80:80:80) Kg NPK/ha, FYM 10 ton/ha +sea weed extract 25kg/ha</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>OUAT, 2006</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>0.2 ha</b>	<b>No. of Demo- 5</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	



<b>Results</b>	<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>% change in Yield</b>	<b>No. flower/pl ant</b>	<b>Wt. of individual flower</b>	<b>cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs./ha)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>BC Ratio**</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>94.0</b>		<b>75.2</b>	<b>5.1 gm</b>	<b>38600</b>	<b>112800</b>	<b>74200</b>	<b>2.92</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>134.0</b>	<b>42.5</b>	<b>86.1</b>	<b>7.2 gm</b>	<b>46800</b>	<b>160800</b>	<b>116600</b>	<b>3.43</b>

<b>Title</b>	<b>Demonstration on use of tractor drawn rotavator</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Tractor drawn rotavator</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Kharif, 2016</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	<b>Proper land preparation is not done by use of tractor drawn cultivator</b>			
<b>Thematic Area</b>	<b>Farm Mechanization</b>			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>use of tractor drawn cultivator</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>Drawn by more than 35HP tractor, 4 feet wide</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Commercial, tested at C.A.E.T., O.U.A.T.</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>1 ha</b>	<b>No. of Demo- 5</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	



<b>Results</b>	<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>% change in Yield</b>	<b>Field capacity ha/hr</b>	<b>Puddling index</b>	<b>cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs./ha)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs/ha)</b>	<b>BC Ratio**</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>48.8</b>		<b>0.52 ha/hr</b>	<b>41.8</b>	<b>30362</b>	<b>65907</b>	<b>35545</b>	<b>2.17</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>49.8</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>0.52 ha/hr</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>28112</b>	<b>66884</b>	<b>38772</b>	<b>2.37</b>

Title	Demonstration on Self propelled rice transplanter			
Crop & Variety	Paddy, Swarna			
Season & Year	Kharif, 2016			
Problem	Manual transplanting of paddy is labour intensive and time consuming work			
Thematic Area	Farm Mechanization			
Farmers Practices	Manual transplanting			
Detail of Technology Demonstrated	Transplant paddy in 8 rows in a single pass . Average field capacity is 0.16 ha/hr			
Recommendation	Commercial tested at CAET, OUAT, 2012			
Area (ha)	1 ha	No. of Demo- 5	Farming situation	Irrigated medium land



Results	Yield (q/ha)	% change in Yield	Labour requirement mandays/ha	cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Gross return (Rs./ha)	Net Income (Rs/ha)	BC Ratio**
T1	44.5		36 mandays/ha	31292	60075	28785	1.92
T2	49.7	11.68	3 mandays/ha	28167	67041	38876	2.38



<b>Title</b>	<b>Demonstration on poultry var. Palishree</b>			
<b>Crop &amp; Variety</b>	<b>Poultry</b>			
<b>Season &amp; Year</b>	<b>Kharif, 2016-17</b>			
<b>Problem</b>	<b>Less Egg &amp; meat Production From Local bird</b>			
<b>Thematic Area</b>	<b>LPM</b>			
<b>Farmers Practices</b>	<b>Local bird</b>			
<b>Detail of Technology Demonstrated</b>	<b>Good quantity and quality of meat production in backyard rearing, acceptable growth rate and egg laying capacity</b>			
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>College of veterinary Science &amp; AH, OUAT, BBSR 2016</b>			
<b>Area (ha)</b>	<b>375 nos.</b>	<b>No. of Demo- 15</b>	<b>Farming situation</b>	<b>Home stead</b>



<b>Results</b>	<b>Avg. growth</b>	<b>Avg. dressing %</b>	<b>cost of cultivation (Rs/unit)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs./unit)</b>	<b>Net Income (Rs/unit)</b>	<b>BC Ratio**</b>
<b>T1</b>	<b>1.9 kg/3 month</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>1500 (Rs. 60/bird for 3 month)</b>	<b>5700 (Rs. 120/kg)</b>	<b>4200</b>	<b>3.8</b>
<b>T2</b>	<b>2.6 kg/3 month</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>1750</b>	<b>7800</b>	<b>6050</b>	<b>4.45</b>

Title	Demonstration of Oyster Mushroom variety <i>Hypsizygous ulmarius</i>		
Crop & Variety	Oyster Mushroom, <i>Hypsizygous ulmarius</i>		
Season & Year	Rabi, 2015-16		
Problem	Low yield from <i>P.sajarcaju</i> during later part of winter due to low temperature		
Farmers Practices (FP)	Oyster Mushroom <i>P.sajarcaju</i>		
Detail of Technology Demonstrated (RP)	Cutting of straw into 2inch,soaking for 8-10 hr, and sterilization, spawning of mushroom bed		
Recommendation	DMR Solan, 2008		
No. of unit	100 bed	No. of Demo- 10	



Results	Avg. fruit wt. of mushroom (gm)	Avg. Yield/unit(kg)	Gross cost (Rs)	Gross income (Rs)	Saving in (Rs)	Net return (Rs.)	BC ratio
T1	32	18.5	300	1110	480	810	3.7
T2	160	26.5	300	1590		1290	5.3